

FATE OF PLANT PROTECTION AGENTS DURING HOP PROCESSING

Roland Schmidt

NATECO₂ GmbH & Co. KG

Auenstrasse 18-20 85283 Wolnzach Info: <u>http://www.nateco2.de</u> e-mail: Roland.Schmidt@nateco2.de

EBC HOP SYMPOSIUM 2010

12-14 SEPTEMBER 2010 WOLNZACH



 Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (MRLs) are fixed in e.g. Regulations EC 839/2008 and 750/2010 for (dried) hops, but:

How to judge residues in hop products?

• Regulation EC 396/2005; Chapter III, Article 20:

<u>MRLs applicable to processed and/or composite products</u>
Where MRLs are not set ... taking into account changes in the levels of pesticide residues caused by processing and/or mixing.
Specific concentration or dilution factors for certain processing and/or composite products may be included in the list in Annex VI. ..."







Extraction with CO₂

Extraction with ethanol



Production of lupulin-enriched pellets





MAIN PRODUCTION STEPS

Extraction with CO₂:

- Starting
- Extraction
- Decrease in pressure
- Evaporation
- Separation
- Condensation
- Homogenising
- Filling
- Packaging



source: CMA "The spirit of beer"



EXTRACTION WITH CO₂

"Definite non-polar residues could be detected in the resin extract quantitatively" and "Polar active agents remained in the spent hops" *(Forster et al.; Poster EBC Congress 1991, Lisbon)*

Solubility is the most important parameter. But the scenery of active agents has changed since then totally. Therefore the following active agents (fungicides) with actual relevance were monitored during the extraction process:

| azoxystrobin (Ortiva | quinoxyfen (Fortress ®) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| dimethomorph (Forum ®) | tolylfluanid (Euparen ®) |
| folpet (Folpet ®) | triadimenol (Bayfidan ®) |
| myclobutanil (Systhane ®) | trifloxystrobin (Flint ®) |



| active agent | MRL (EU) | hops (pellets) | CO ₂ -extract | mg/kg $lpha$ |
|-----------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| azoxystrobin | 20 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 6 |
| dimethomorph | 50 | < 0.1 | 0.2 | <1 |
| folpet | 150 | 11.5 | 27 | 47 |
| myclobutanil | 2 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 4 |
| quinoxyfen | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1 |
| tolylfluanid | 50 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1 |
| triadimenol | 10 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 5 |
| trifloxystrobin | 30 | < 0.05 | 0.2 | <1 |

residues in spent hops: <0.1 mg/kg or not detected

ENRICHMENT BY CO₂-EXTRACTION

| active agent | enrichment factor |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| azoxystrobin | 7 |
| dimethomorph | 5 |
| folpet | 2.5 |
| myclobutanil | 8 |
| quinoxyfen | 3 |
| tolylfluanid | 6 |
| triadimenol | 10 |
| trifloxystrobin | 5 |
| alpha acids (HPLC) | 3.2 |



| examples for US hops | boscalid | pyraclostrobin | spirodiclofen |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|
| enrichment factor | 1.8 - 6.4 | 3.1 – 5.1 | 2.4 - 7.1 |



| active agent | range of residues | MRL in EU | max in extract / MRL |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| [mg/kg] | in CO ₂ -extract | (for hops) | (for hops) |
| azoxystrobin | 1.6 - 8.4 | 20 | 0.42 |
| dimethomorph | 2.5 – 7.1 | 50 | 0.14 |
| folpet | 9.1 – 173 | 150 | 1.15*) |
| myclobutanil | 0.5 – 2.4 | 2 | 1.2*) |
| quinoxyfen | 0.2 – 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.0*) |
| triadimenol | 1.1 – 1.2 | 10 | 0.12 |
| trifloxystrobin | 14.4 - 77 | 30 | 2.57*) |

*) no breach of regulations!



MAIN PRODUCTION STEPS

Extraction with ethanol:

- Extraction
- Evaporation
- Homogenising
- Filling
- Packaging



source: CMA "The spirit of beer"



RESULTS (mg/kg) FOR ONE BIG EXTRACTION BATCH

| active agent | MRL (EU) | hops | EtOH-extract | recovery | mg/kg $lpha$ |
|-----------------|----------|------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| azoxystrobin | 20 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 55 % | 9 |
| dimethomorph | 50 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 66 % | 5 |
| folpet | 150 | 21.0 | 14.6 | 17 % | 32 |
| myclobutanil | 2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 62 % | 1 |
| quinoxyfen | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 58 % | 1 |
| trifloxystrobin | 30 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 83 % | 13 |



| active agent | enrichment factor |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| azoxystrobin | 2.2 |
| dimethomorph | 2.7 |
| folpet | 0.7 |
| myclobutanil | 2.5 |
| quinoxyfen | 2.3 |
| trifloxystrobin | 3.4 |
| alpha acids (LCV) | 4.0 |



source: Hopsteiner



CONCLUSION FOR EXTRACTS

- For all analysed active agents the "yields" are comparable to the yields or the enrichment of the alpha level.
- For judging pesticide residues in CO₂ and EtOH extracts the enrichment factor given by the extraction process must be taken in account. Depending on the hop variety (different alpha levels) the enrichment factor can vary from 2.5 to 10.

To cover most varieties the proposal is to use 5 as factor.

 The states of the two publications^{*}) from the 1990ies must be revised for the actually used active agents.

*) Brauwelt 130, 930-939, 1990 and Proceedings of the 23rd EBC Congress Lisbon, 193-200, 1991



MAIN PRODUCTION STEPS

Production of lupulin-enriched pellets:

- Drying
- Cleaning
- Deep freezing
- Milling
- Sieving
- Standardising
- Homogenising
- Pelletising
- Cooling
- Packaging



OPRODUCTION OF LUPULIN-NATECOENRICHED PELLETS

<u>Traditionally:</u> "Type 45" = 45kg pellets produced from 100 kg hops

<u>Nowadays:</u> Flexible enrichment of alpha-acids (standardization of alpha acid contents) determines the yield of the product quantity.

| Example | Hops | Pellets |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| Alpha content | 8.7 % | 12.0 % |
| Quantity | 10 000 kg | 7 250 kg |
| Yield (Quantity) | - | 72.50 % |
| Enrichment factor | - | 1.38 |

27.50 % from whole quantity removed as coarse fraction

CCALCULATION OF THENATECODISTRIBUTION

- Recovery in the fine fraction (=pellets): How much of the residues of each active agent (total of residues in both fractions) can be found in the pellets?
- Depending on the yield (enrichment factor with regard to alpha)
- When distribution is even, both percentages must be the same.
 On the other side it means:
 - 100%: whole quantity of the residues in the fine fraction
 - 0%: whole quantity of the residues in the coarse fraction



The yields (quantity) of ten different pellet production lots compared with the "yields" of the active agents (examples):

| Yield (Quantity %-w/w) | 46.9 | 51 3 | 51 5 | 52 1 | 52 5 | 52.6 | 54 3 | 57.0 | 59.6 | 61 9 |
|------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | TU. 3 | 51.5 | 51.5 | 52.1 | 52.5 | 52.0 | 54.5 | 57.0 | 55.0 | 01.5 |
| azoxystrobin [mg/kg] | 2.20 | 2.60 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 3.00 | 2.70 | 3.20 | 0.49 | 2.30 | 0.20 |
| "Yield" azoxystrobin | 76.4 | 79.7 | 87.1 | 90.4 | 92.5 | 85.7 | 87.8 | 82.3 | 82.9 | 91.6 |
| dimethomorph [mg/kg] | 1.30 | 1.30 | 0.44 | 3.30 | 2.20 | 5.00 | 3.20 | 9.70 | 0.19 | 0.53 |
| "Yield" dimethomorph | 75.7 | 79.2 | 82.4 | 83.7 | 88.7 | 82.2 | 86.4 | 87.1 | 73.7 | 79.0 |

Residues and recovery inNATECO.THE FINE FRACTION (EXAMPLE)





| Yield (Quantity %-w/w) | 46.9 | 51.3 | 51.5 | 52.1 | 52.5 | 52.6 | 54.3 | 57.0 | 59.6 | 61.9 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|
| azoxystrobin | 76.4 | 79.7 | 87.1 | 90.4 | 92.5 | 85.7 | 87.8 | 82.3 | 82.9 | 91.6 |
| dimethomorph | 75.7 | 79.2 | 82.4 | 83.7 | 88.7 | 82.2 | 86.4 | 87.1 | 73.7 | 79.0 |
| flonicamid | | | | | | 58.1 | | | | 57.1 |
| folpet | 90.4 | 94.4 | 92.8 | 84.0 | 85.9 | 92.8 | 94.1 | 90.9 | 80.0 | 79.0 |
| myclobutanil | 78.0 | 78.4 | 77.3 | 85.3 | 84.4 | 87.5 | | 90.3 | 68.6 | 72.1 |
| quinoxyfen | 68.5 | 74.9 | 93.3 | 81.3 | 76.4 | 73.5 | | | 60.7 | 73.9 |
| spiroxamin | | | | | | | | | 94.8 | |
| tolylfluanid | 72.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| triadimenol | 57.0 | 51.3 | 97.0 | | | 76.0 | | | 84.9 | |
| trifloxystrobin | 77.3 | 76.5 | 88.0 | 80.4 | 84.4 | 86.3 | 89.1 | 92.7 | 83.0 | 76.1 |
| pymetrozin | | | | | | | | 87.7 | | |
| copper | 60.8 | | | | | | | 80.5 | | |
| phosphoric acid | | | | 57.0 | | 100.0 | | | | |
| imidacloprid | | | | | 100.0 | | | | 100.0 | |



CONCLUSION FOR PELLETS

- For all analysed active agents the "yields" are higher then the yields with regard to alpha.
- The attempt to draw lines of best fits fails because of their bad regressions.
- For the comparison of residues in lupulin-enriched pellets and the MRL's the enrichment factor has to be taken into account.
 To cover most cases the proposal is: to use 2 as factor.
- The states of the two publications^{*}) from the 1990ies must be revised for the actually used active agents.

*) Brauwelt 130, 930-939, 1990 and Proceedings of the 23rd EBC Congress Lisbon, 193-200, 1991



 According to the regulations EC 839/2008, EC 750/2010 and EC 396/2005 the question was:

How to judge residues in hop products?

- Proposals:
 - For extracts (CO₂ and EtOH):
 - For lupulin-enriched pellets:

5 * MRL (hops)

- 2 * MRL (hops)
- Although these factors are proposed usually the use of hop products reduces the input of active agents into the brewing process.



COMPARISONS

| ADI | Beer *) [mg/l] | strawberries | grapes | tomatoes |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
| (mg/70kg) | "worst case" | MRL [mg/100g] | MRL [mg/100g] | MRL [mg/100g] |
| 14 | 0.018 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 3.5 | 0.033 | 0.005 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 7 | n.d. | 0.3 | 0.002 | 0.02 |
| 2.1 | 0.002 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.03 |
| 14 | n.d. | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.002 |
| 7 | n.d. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 3.5 | n.d. | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 7 | 0.002 | 0.05 | 0.5 | 0.05 |
| | ADI (mg/70kg) 14 3.5 7 2.1 14 7 14 7 3.5 7 | ADI (mg/70kg)Beer *) [mg/l] "worst case"140.0183.50.0337n.d.2.10.00214n.d.7n.d.3.5n.d.70.002 | ADI (mg/70kg)Beer *) [mg/I] "worst case"strawberries MRL [mg/100g]140.01813.50.0330.0057n.d.0.32.10.0020.114n.d.0.037n.d.0.53.5n.d.0.0570.0020.05 | ADI (mg/70kg)Beer *) [mg/l] "worst case"strawberries MRL [mg/100g]grapes MRL [mg/100g]140.01810.23.50.0330.0050.37n.d.0.30.0022.10.0020.10.114n.d.0.030.17n.d.0.50.53.5n.d.0.050.270.0020.050.5 |

*) 14 + 7 g α /hl spiked pellets dosed with residues = MRL

Schmidt et al., EBC Poster 2007, Venice (I)



THANK YOU!











... for your attention!